



Purpose: *To monitor and validate student achievement in the ability to express ideas clearly as a member of a social group.*

Procedures:

! Determine the criteria and/or indicators of successful social dialogue.

Example: Discussion Score Sheet

Positive	Points	Negative	Points
(2) 1. Taking a position on a question	_____	(-2) 1. Not paying attention or distracting others	_____
(1) 2. Making a relevant comment (Max)	_____	(-2) 2. Interruption	_____
(2) 3. Using evidence to support a position or presenting factual information	_____	(-1) 3. Irrelevant comment	_____
(1) 4. Drawing another person into the discussion	_____	(-1) 4. Monopolizing	_____
(2) 5. Recognizing contradictions in another person's statements	_____	(-3) 5. Personal Attack	_____
(2) 6. Recognizing when another person makes an irrelevant comment	_____	<i>Points</i> _____	
(2) 7. Making an analogy	_____		
(1) 8. Asking a clarifying question or moving the discussion along	_____		

- @ Select materials that promotes objectives (written or visual)
- # Create an agenda for the successful performance of the criteria.

Example: Agenda

1. Define the problem or issue.
2. What position does the writer of the article take on the issue?
3. Decide how that position agrees or disagrees with your own.

- \$ In-service the strategy
 - a. explain the criteria
 - b. stress appropriateness vs. quantity, establish maximums
 - c. allow student observation time to demonstrate objectivity
- % Score all students
- ^ Give feedback, what's right, what's wrong & how to fix
- & Provide accountability - for continuous improvement

"Brain Compatible? 4Check It Out!"

— Stress = brain downshifts	— Content must have relevance for the learner
— M(memory) space = how much the learner works on at a time	— Brain pays conscious attention to only one thing at a time
— Enriched environment = increasing dendrite branching	— All learning enters through our senses/emotions